

February 3, 1992 LB 111

syndrome that people get into in writing bad checks. They have an account and they don't watch the balance and they think they can get by and especially in this day and age of the technology it isn't like it was maybe 50 years ago where it took a few days, perhaps, for a check to clear. They clear very quickly these days because of the computer technology. So I'm going to vote for Senator Beutler's amendment and I think you have to keep in mind that we're not talking about what Senator Lindsay was talking about. We're talking about people, business people who sit there with a bunch of checks that they cannot collect and that's not fair to them. It costs them money. It costs you money because then you go into the grocery store and they're sitting there with money they can't collect and that cost is passed onto the consumer, as always. So, thank you, I will vote for the Beutler amendment and then I will vote for the Dierks amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Crosby.

SENATOR CROSBY: I think we should work it out on the floor today. Senator Beutler mentioned that. I think we should work it out right now.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Crosby. Senator Dierks, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR DIERKS: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I don't have much of a problem with Senator Beutler's amendment. I think he's trying to make this amendment to the bill a valid and a quality amendment so I'm not going to object to that. I should mention with the concerns that...that Senator Lindsay had this legislation is permissive. The county attorney may or may not use this method of aggregation of checks so that it isn't something that's going to be mandated. I still would like to see the process go a little farther to see what we can come up with here. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Ashford.

SENATOR ASHFORD: We're on the Beutler amendment, is that correct?

SPEAKER BAACK: Yes, we are.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Senator Dierks, just as a point of...I do t