

February 3, 1992 LB 111

attorneys and the other attorneys in the body, that we can always bring it back from Final Reading. Now, how does that...that may not be the answer you were looking for, but I think we have to deal with aggregation of theft and then we will deal with aggregation of checks, and we have to do these. I know there is going to be amendments just dealing with aggregation of theft today as well as Senator Dierks, and perhaps if we just remove those and then we dealt with the aggregation of the checks separately when it was in order, then...then could be easily attached. But we are going...we are going to be debating some amendments to the aggregation of theft. I guess that's the way I would like to do it.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. I...if part of the bill...part of it can be worked out today, I would think that would be fine. I guess I would have some reservations about advancing it onto E & R Final because, unless we make some special arrangements, the bill will be printed and then we have to go through the Final Reading printing again. I don't see the necessity of that if we would just hold it on Select File. But it's whatever you decide. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lamb. Senator Crosby, did you wish to address the Beutler amendment?

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yes, I would hope we could adopt Senator Beutler's amendment because I think that the aggregate check bill, as it was introduced and as this amendment would...comes across to us, is really important. Senator Lindsay said something a little while ago about somebody depositing a check from someone for \$1,000 and then writing a bunch of checks on that and then later on finding out the \$1,000 bounced. That's not what we're talking about. We're talking about retailers in particular really suffer from the amount that is allowed on bad check charges and the low amount and then the county attorneys don't have the time or resources to follow up for them, and the retailers, grocery stores and businesses like that will sit with a stack of checks from one person that are in small amounts and then they add up over \$100, they still can't do anything about it, because we don't have the aggregate amount in the law. So I would...I'm going to vote for Senator Beutler's amendment and then I'm going to vote for Senator Dierks' amendment if we get to it, because I think that those retailers, in particular, are the ones who are sitting out there with those checks and no way to collect them. It's a bad