

January 30, 1992 LB 671

parallels our intestate recovery list. It is one of which this is the way people normally think of their relationships. We asked about an immediate family and John said it was his spouse and children. That's right. That's what leads off here. Who is your immediate family? Your spouse, followed by your children, followed by your parents, followed by your siblings. Section 9 makes sense. I urge you to continue to retain it.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Landis. Does anyone else wish to speak to this amendment? Seeing none, do you have closing, Senator Lindsay?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes, Madam President, before I close I guess I'd ask, we're going to have to have it anyway, I'm going to ask for a call of the house.

PRESIDENT MOUL: I have a motion for a call of the house. We'll have a machine vote. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 18 ayes, 0 nays to go under call, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: The house is under call. Would all unexcused senators please return to the chambers and record their presence. All unauthorized personnel must leave the floor. Would the senators please check in. The house is under call. Would all unauthorized personnel please leave the floor and the senators please check in. Would Senators Lynch, Dennis Byars, Senator Chambers please check in. Senator Nelson, Senator Peterson, Senator Robak, Senator Rogers, Senator Elmer, Senator Haberman. Looking for Senator Nelson, Senator Peterson and Senator Haberman. Senator Lindsay, would you like to proceed with your closing? SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President and members, this is the major amendment to this bill. That's why I...this is the first time I've ever called for a call of the house for the closing, but I think it is important. This...in a nutshell, what this amendment would do is strip out those provisions of the bill that set forth who can consent to withholding of life sustaining treatment for those who do not have a living will. Senator Landis would agree and I would set forth that if this amendment is adopted not one person will be prevented from executing a living will. It will not affect how a living will is interpreted. It will not affect whether somebody's wishes will be complied with under a living will. It does not affect it. What this does is it adds to and makes