

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Madam President, members. Now, Senator Landis, I just have one question to discuss with you and that is in the area of your priorities, and you talked about the common law priorities. Just so people are clear and so I am clear, one of the priorities were the durable power of attorney, attorney in fact. What we are saying is that has to be a nonrevoked power of attorney. You could always revoke your power of attorney, so the fact that you had one ten years ago that has been revoked doesn't...it has to be a valid existing power of attorney for that to be...

SENATOR LANDIS: Exactly, that is right.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...there. Okay, two, is that a legally appointed guardian assumes that that is a qualified guardian, someone who is themselves competent, who has a legal order. If I say that I want Senator Will to be my guardian, that doesn't make him a guardian. He must receive a court order guardian and so those things, at least for the record, are valid current existing, Senator Will would have to be competent at the time he made the exercise to be a valid guardian of myself.

SENATOR LANDIS: That is correct.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay, as the same way with a spouse. If a spouse is incompetent to make the decision and let's say that there has been a common car accident, both are vegetables, you can't impute the spouse's decisions or substitute it for someone else's that they would do that. and then you go on down the list, much similar to you would for a personal representative when there is none stated or the first personal representative isn't qualified, this...it mirrors that of the same intent, is that correct?

SENATOR LANDIS: That is correct, Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Robinson, did you wish to be recognized? Does anyone else wish to speak to this amendment? Seeing none, do you have closing, Senator Landis?