

January 29, 1992 LR 9

they turn it down in Lincoln at the Liquor Commission, then that's it. You can't...well, you can always have that appealed but the final say-so is still the Liquor Commission.

SENATOR ABOUD: And what happens if Senator Hartnett doesn't run the best sort of establishment and he loses that liquor license? Well, it's revoked by the city of...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: The Liquor...

SENATOR ABOUD: Does he have a right to appeal?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: The Liquor Commission still has the final say-so.

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR ABOUD: They would have the final authority of whether that revocation of a liquor license would, in fact, occur?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes.

SENATOR ABOUD: The Liquor Commission would have that final authority.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: The Liquor Commission has the final say-so.

SENATOR ABOUD: So what does...what does this bill do? Or what does this constitutional amendment do if the final authority is left with the Liquor Commission?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: This just puts into the constitutional amendment what we already allow and this puts it into the Constitution.

SENATOR ABOUD: Okay, thank you very much, Senator Schellpeper, for answering those questions.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Abboud. Senator Schellpeper, followed by Senators Robak and Cudaback. Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you, Madam President, and members, it's kind of hard for me to explain a lot of this amendment. I