

Constitution and I, frankly, I think we should just indefinitely postpone the whole thing. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Robak. Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Madam President and members, I rise to support the amendment by Senator Schellpeper. The current language that's in the statute as 53-135.02 says that it is currently a privilege and so I think this can be taken out. I do not agree with what Senator Robak has said. Your municipalities have spent many, many dollars defending, defending the legislative intent, so if our courts want to be in the lead here, I say the Legislature has made their statements known and the municipalities should not have to defend what the legislator has intended. So we do need this language to set the record straight. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABOUD: Yes, Madam President, would Senator Schellpeper yield to a question?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes, I will.

SENATOR ABOUD: Senator Schellpeper, I had a question with the...and you can relate this to the amendment, then also with the resolution as well. What happens to the state's control over liquor licenses with the passage of this constitutional amendment?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: It will go to the communities that are going to be issuing these licenses.

SENATOR ABOUD: So the state will have absolutely no say in liquor licenses with the passage of this constitutional amendment?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Say that again.

SENATOR ABOUD: Is that correct?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Just a minute. The state still has the control, but the final say will...okay, I'm taking this bill for Senator Jackie Smith and so I...okay, the state will still have the final say-so. This will just say that the communities have