

January 29, 1992 LB 523

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: You want a yes or no answer?

SENATOR BEUTLER: There is a yes or no answer to that hypothetical and I would appreciate that answer.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, in that hypothetical that could occur.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you. So I am not, in the context of what is being proposed in this current legislation, I do not feel that I am misrepresenting anything. My position is clearly this, aftertreatment, good, yes, but adopt this amendment and make that aftertreatment take place during the time of parole unless, unless the Department of Institutions and the judge can represent to society that this person is not a danger to society. That's the point of the amendment. That's the philosophic position and you can agree or disagree with it but I put the choice to you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Madam President, and members of the body, I was on Judiciary when we did the mentally disordered sex offender and, of course, our desire at that time was so that that person would not be released at a time when he was a danger to society. The court standard is a danger to oneself or others. That's what we have to use when we're looking at any mentally affected person. As a result of that, you know, we have a lot of homeless but these are harmless people. There's a lot of different mental disorders. What we are talking about in this bill is a criminal mentally disordered person, one who has behaved in a violent manner and has run afoul of the law and is mentally disordered. Senator Kristensen, I don't see "cure" anywhere in this amendment. Cured probably would not happen in the majority. Control by medication or by some kind of self-help group or just control by another person is what these people ultimately end up with. I think this is just another protection. We're talking in Section 9 that the Department of Public Institution determines that they have received the maximum benefit of the inpatient and then we have Senator Beutler's amendment is not in the opinion of the department's professional staff...now these are psychologists, psychiatrists, likely to commit further sexual offenses and is not for that reason or any other reason a danger to society. And so it's saying, okay, psychologists, psychiatrists, in your opinion, and you give this still to the court, is this person likely to