

January 28, 1992 LE 130

an idea what exactly the establishment does mean. So, with your amendment as it is, I would strongly oppose the amendment as it is. And if we can work out some satisfactory language, I think that would be the best overall situation. And since you're telling me...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...you don't know what the answer to some of my questions are yet, I again suggest that maybe we move this over to Select File and try to find some workable language. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Madam President, members, I rise to oppose the amendment as Senator Moore has discussed and presented where this would be allowed, he has mentioned family restaurants in smaller towns in Nebraska. And I think philosophically what we need to decide is, what I thought we were doing, was limiting the access, for minors, to tobacco. And if that's our intent, because it is, (a) unlawful, and (b) addictive, then to widen the access defeats really the purpose of the bill. And so I do oppose it. And I think with this amendment we're saying, well, we're a little bit against it, or a little bit for it, and I think it's time that we have to rise and say that we support the idea that we should restrict tobacco to minors. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Madam President, members of the body, Senator Moore, would you answer a question, please.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Moore, for the record and to be clear, under your amendment would vending machines be allowed in Wendy's, McDonalds, Kings, Wimpy's and other drive-ins, such as that?

SENATOR MOORE: All of those you mentioned, to my knowledge, don't have a liquor license, so they would not be allowed in those places.