

January 28, 1992 LB 470

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...next week, late next week after Tuesday's hearing with the understanding that it is a senator's priority bill. It would have a place on the agenda. It would be talked about because it is a senator's priority bill, but then at that point we as a body would know for sure what the amendments were going to be, what was going to be agreed to and then we would have two levels of debates on that amendment on that bill because in essence what we're doing is advancing a bill that failed twice and limiting the body to one type of area of debate on Select File for the most part on amendments that we have not, or a bill we have not yet seen before the body because it hasn't had a hearing at this point. To me that would be a favorable thing to do.

SENATOR LANDIS: If I can interpret that as a question, to me, if the suggestion is if we move this to Select File and bracket it for a time certain to make sure that the hearing has occurred...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...and the action is there, I think that's a totally satisfactory procedural response.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Madam Speaker and members, I guess I have a couple questions. One I'll ask first of Senator Schmit and then to Senator Landis. Senator Schmit, as I understand the bill, we're providing, I guess you might call it limited branch banking for around the population centers of Nebraska. Is that accurate?

SENATOR SCHMIT: That is true although it does apply to any bank, any bank could do...(inaudible)

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: But only in Douglas and Sarpy County and Lancaster.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Any bank could do that. For example, those banks could acquire an institution in Columbus or Hastings and those banks would still then retain their rights to branch in that community, but they have to do it in the community.