

January 27, 1992 LB 312

which are the criminal penalty provision, in accordance with Senator Schmit and Senator Rogers' agreement, and relies upon the criminal mischief statute, which we already have on the books. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Discussion on the Beutler amendment. Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members, I support the Beutler amendment. It's exactly as he has described it, and I believe it improves the bill. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schmit. Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you. Question for Senator Beutler.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Beutler, would you respond, please.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Senator Beutler, if you strike Section 3, that's the definition of the animals that we maim, warm blooded, cold blooded animals used in food, fur, or fiber production, agriculture, research, et cetera. Did you say you were striking Section 3? And, if so, why would you want to do that?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Pirsch, let me take a look at that and get right back to you.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I understand Section...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Ah, the amendment...

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...2 is the one with the criminal penalty. But Section 3 is the definition for the animals that we're in reference to.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Pirsch, I'm going to have to look at that quick, I'm not sure what the answer to that is right off.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Pirsch, are you finished then? Thank you, Senator Pirsch. Anyone else wishing to address the amendment by Senator Beutler? Seeing no one, Senator Beutler,