

January 24, 1992 LB 226

SENATOR NELSON: Senator Haberman, it doesn't apply to those businesses. Maybe...I don't think Senator Ashford knew that either. That is only new businesses that haven't opened up yet. That doesn't apply to who he is talking to.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Just a minute, Senator Ashford, is that correct? I can't hear you. I'd like to ask you a question.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Well, okay, I never get much of a chance. That's why I thought I'd try it from...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR ASHFORD: The...

SENATOR HABERMAN: Does it or doesn't it apply? Pardon?

SENATOR ASHFORD: Does...the \$150,000 bond doesn't apply to established business.

SENATOR HABERMAN: No, I am talking about the seven years.

SENATOR ASHFORD: The seven years would apply to the bill as a whole, not just to the bonding provisions, is my understanding.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Okay, but Senator Nelson said that there are some firms, as I understand it, that are in the business for seven years and are shaky. Is that...do you understand that or is that not correct?

SENATOR ASHFORD: She quoted an example of a company called Madamoiselle. I am not aware of that, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Okay, thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Haberman. Senator Nelson, do you have a point of order?

SENATOR NELSON: Yes, I do, and my good friend, Brad, I hate to do this but the amendment is probably out of order. It should have been an amendment to the committee amendments. Instead of that, it is an amendment to the bill. But it was a bad amendment anyhow, so I ask for a ruling on that.