

January 24, 1992 LB 411

the positive account employers was increased because the negative account employers were up to their limit, so they didn't participate in this increase and that's what I'm going to try to get them to do.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I thought you told me that the negative people, that everybody was connected to this 139 million. Now you say they're not.

SPEAKER BAACK: Time. Senator Hartnett, you're next.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I think I have a question for Senator Coordsen.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Coordsen, could you respond to a question, please.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Only if I understand it, Mr. Speaker.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Well, then (inaudible) respond.

SPEAKER BAACK: Go ahead, Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Where is the balance of this fund? To me, the balance seems, as I listen to the debate and so forth, the funds seems rather large at this time. Are we...are we paying in more? Is the fund going up or is it going down? Is it being used for unemployment compensation or where are we at? You know, can you tell me the...

SENATOR COORDSEN: Okay, I...

SENATOR HARTNETT: ...historical analysis of this?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Right. If you allow me to run through some figures here and rather than the contribution of the payout I will just give you the trust fund balance at the end of each year. Okay. 1986, 76 million; 1987, 84 million; 1988, 102 million; 1989, 123 million; 1990, 140 million; and now we are at 139 million. So this is, you know, I indicated about the change in the reserve balance because it's not growing, it indicates that we're going to be going down again. Does that answer your question?

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yes. But we do have a pretty...