

because I know some times that the acquisition of private property, by various state agencies, is quite controversial. And I guess I think that maybe there should be a...at least one public hearing, in most of these cases, available to the public. And if we're, if we're being duplicative, obviously we need to get rid of this. On the other hand, I guess I just want to be clear that this is not eliminating public hearings in some situations where we may not have intended it.

SENATOR WARNER: Well I was thinking it does apply to all agencies, Senator. I'll have to look at it. The bill was brought to me by the city, and what I've said relative to the city is accurate in place of the public hearings and ordinances require. I would really need to check to make sure.

SENATOR BEUTLER: That's fine. Maybe we can maybe just flush it out a little bit between now and Select.

SENATOR WARNER: Be glad to do that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I also have a problem along the same line as Senator Beutler. An agency acquiring property, even if it is a willing buyer, willing seller, would not necessarily have a hearing within a subdivision. The only way that would happen is if there was a change in the use or the zoning, and in some cases that would not trigger a hearing. So I have great reservations about this, particularly the second part, when we're amending Section 25-2505. Senator Warner, do you have any comments in regard to that? This is happening in my district particularly. And I would appreciate it if you would have any comments to add to that concern.

SENATOR WARNER: The whole section?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: I am again told, which was my original understanding, it only deals with Lincoln property, but I'm looking at the section. Senator Landis, I understood you had a...do you have a comment, I was told.