

you made a good point about 1059 but I think that I heard many, many times that...from Senator Landis, one of the chief cosponsors of 1059, say that it was never meant to be a property tax relief. It was only to equalize the formula of distribution or whatever the other did not do. But I heard him repeatedly say it never was meant to be, it never was and it never shall be, and then I heard Scott Moore say that if you think you're property taxes aren't too high, then don't vote for this or whatever. But there was a little mixup...

SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes.

SENATOR ROBAK: ...right there and I think the people were confused on the ballot when they went to vote to repeal 1059 because it was stated on the ballot that it would reduce property tax or it would give property tax relief or something like that. But, according to one of the sponsors of the bill, it was not meant to provide property tax relief, it was only to equalize education or whatever he meant it to be. However, my amendment proposes that we do take 1059 funds to...to fund driver's education in our schools because since the state did drive their mandatory...drop their mandatory driver's education program, 50 percent of the youngsters do not take this now and there has to be a reason why they're not taking it. And if it's so important, as we have heard today, there must be a reason why they're not taking it and I would like to have them take it too. So I'm proposing we take the funding from 1059 and give it to the schools to fund. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: You've heard the closing on the amendment. We will now vote on the amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 3 ayes, 21 nays on the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment is not adopted. The next item.

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill at this time, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: We are now on the bill as amended by the committee amendments. Senator Moore, you're first up.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, I rise to oppose the advancement of LB 336. Obviously, through the series of