

males under 30 or under 25, I think that's the first step, then they will understand what I am saying when I say I believe in driver's ed because you do get a little discount. It may not be much but some days that little discount helps. Parents, by and large, find money for what they think is important. They'll find money, we will find...I'm a parent, okay, we will find money for dancing lessons, for music lessons of all kinds, for all kinds of things like that we find money and I like what Senator Kristensen said, that one of the things young people do, and they spend a lot of time at it, is they drive cars. So why are we against driver's education? If we're for education in everything else and the \$125 as he says it could be anywhere from 75 to 125, I would hope that maybe eventually the insurance companies might help in some way in bringing up some money to help on those fees if maybe someone needs the help. There are people who probably cannot afford it, I understand that. But I still think that driver's education is extremely important especially in a state like Nebraska where you can't get there from here without driving a car. There is very little public transportation. When I say there is hardly any, there is none west of Lincoln probably except the handibus. So I can't...I fail...I have a difficulty understanding why anyone would be trying to...be against trying to at least move this bill along and trying to solve the problem that, obviously, is there when you read the statistics. So I will oppose the kill motion and I'll continue to support the bill. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Crosby. Senator Cudaback, on the motion to indefinitely postpone.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Speaker, members, I definitely think this bill should be advanced. I won't reiterate everything that Senator Kristensen has said. This was not a bill that was put together in five minutes. This bill had many, many hours of thought put to it. It has been put together by a group of people that knew what they were doing and for a definite cause. It seems like we have schools for everything nowadays. We have schools for this, we have schools for that, yet this is something that takes many, many lives, takes many, many of our young people's lives as well as elderly people. We need to train our people how to drive. We are not trying to limit the amount of drivers. This does not limit the amount of drivers. This simply says that if you do not take the driver's course, then you will not receive a driver's license till you're 17 years of age. This makes sense. Let's get our priorities