

January 14, 1992

you have left? Thirty-nine. Isn't that interesting? And what's that divisible by? Four? That's why we made the recommendation for the three and I can certainly accept the recommendation for six and it was a brilliant recommendation, I think, on the part of Senator Hartnett and the staff to suggest it as an alternative. But also keep in mind that with this recommendation all of you are concerned about fair representation or maintaining control even maybe when you don't have the numbers that this is probably the best shot you're going to get without having to depend on deals with any other caucuses for anything.

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lynch. Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, I, too, could be much more supportive of Senator Hartnett's six proposal. It's been well explained and well discussed. Also, I could go the next step further and be more supportive of the four, the fourth district. And I tell you, the three proposals, I can see what Senator Lynch just said, ones of us that...or the other of you folks that can divide by math it works out better, the 39. But I have a problem in my own mind and maybe Senator Lynch can help me out on this. How in the world were the senators picked in the first, second and third districts as the committee proposal? It looks to me like they almost put the hats in the...the names in a hat and drew them out. Not quite. But I see such a...I don't see any really rhyme or rhythm to those senators in the three districts. I'm not dead set on any of them and I'm just trying to ask for my information. Maybe it was taken from older lists. I see some rhyme or rhythm. Would you answer me, Senator Lynch?

SENATOR LYNCH: Yes, I would be happy to, Senator Nelson. With the permission of the Chair, I have before me a document that we'll discuss and provide for us if we have the opportunity the three caucuses as recommended. Has this been passed out, Laurie? Okay, would you pass it out? Take one over to Senator Nelson right away. And I apologize, we didn't pass it out now because we hadn't gotten to the three. But what this describes for you, Senator Nelson, is with the plus and minuses on District 1, 2, and 3, the proposal for 3, it basically stays the same as we now have for the caucuses for Executive Board, except for those exceptions way down at the bottom of each list where it says, plus...