

January 14, 1992

that there was an amendment by Senator Hartnett which was going to be considered next.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Lynch, would you open on the rules changes and then we'll go to Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR LYNCH: All right, I will give a brief explanation of...the Rules Committee also met to discuss reorganization and intro is important and necessary. As we all know, we have three congressmen in Nebraska and as maybe some of you remember from four years ago or so when Senator Vard Johnson was here, he brought our attention to that fact and did at least informally suggest that we should consider a reorganization for Committee on Committees purposes that reflects that one-person, one-vote rule concept and integrity. We didn't do it four years ago because, as was suggested by Senator Moore and others, the time to do that was when we reorganized after the census of 1990. That's where we're at now, after the census of 1990. We looked at a number and discussed a number of possibilities. We discussed the four Committee on Committees process that now exists and the reason that exists. I will let all of you decide for yourself if that process satisfies the one-person, one-vote rule. But, to some of us on the committee, it was important for the integrity of the issue, for reorganizational purposes as it applies to everything, including Committee on Committees, that we make a recommendation that recognizes that one-person, one-vote rule concept. So, as a result of that, we provided that recommendation to you. Before coming to you with this recommendation though, as you all know, immediately after the Rules Committee met for the first time, we asked that other senators who may have ideas about how we should reorganize for Committee on Committees purposes submit those to the committee so that that could be considered as well. When the Rules Committee met it had only one defined proposal from Senator Hartnett and that proposal recommended six committees for...six caucuses for Committee on Committees purposes. Obviously, those six caucuses is divisible by three. It was considered at the...at the Rules Committee hearing, then, in fact, to be completely frank, offered, as I'm sure will be explained by Senator Hartnett next, the advantages of that particular recommendation. So, with that, I understand, Madam President and Mr. Clerk, an introduction of our committee's recommendation to the body is four/three, but the first thing we're to consider is an amendment to the committee recommendation, as I just described, by Senator Hartnett for the six.