

January 14, 1992

SENATOR BEUTLER: Depends on who the Speaker was, Senator Chambers, probably.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So what...so how are you going to enforce this?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, Senator Chambers, it's just like any other rule of the Legislature, enforceability, of course, is always a problem because we're dealing with the delicate situation of our fellow voters and our friends in the Legislature, so enforcement is always a problem. But, ultimately, it's enforced by the Speaker bringing it to the attention of the body as a whole and a vote of the body as a whole.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. So then I guess the body would become a mob and adjourn to that senator's office and, by force of numbers, drag that person back to the Chamber. See, I have seen things happen on this floor where people were angry. I have seen things happen in the corridors and I know what can happen. And I'm realistic when we talk about these kinds of things. So to avoid all of that...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...I think we ought to leave our rule the way that it is.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Does anyone else wish to speak to this motion? Seeing none, do you have closing, Senator Lynch?

SENATOR LYNCH: Madam President and members, I want to thank Ernie I've never ever, as an old, short, fat, etcetera, etcetera, that you know that I am, ever, ever been accused of making a lovely appearance. Appreciate that. But that's one of the things Ernie related to that, obviously, isn't true. I'm not very lovely. You know, we never when we talked about this rule change thought much about freedom and liberty and work in office or charades, you know, we assume we're all on this body...in this body and feel responsible for our obligations. So the intention of this rule change wasn't certainly to offend Ernie or anyone else or interfere with any lifestyles. And I probably, as one senator, would probably challenge Ernie about