

January 9, 1992 LB 678

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...question is this, ordinarily there is some standard on these expenses if I'm thinking of the right...if I'm making the right analogy, such as we have for state employees...

SENATOR WESELY: Yeah.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...in fact, the standard thing would be to reference the statute for state employees and here we're having a district judge do it. What...what is the wisdom of having a district judge make this decision instead of just setting it statutorily as we do for most other employees?

SENATOR WESELY: I can't think of any wisdom on it so let's...I would be happy to see some figure put into statute. I would have no problem with that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Bohlke, did you wish to speak?

SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes, Mr. Speaker. Senator Wesely, if you would, along some of the same concerns with Senator Robinson, I think I heard you say that this training is now offered by DPI but not taken advantage of by those...some of those members. Therefore, that must have been an expense within DPI if they offer the training now and someone doesn't take advantage of it. Certainly, they figured in that expense. So I think it would be an appropriate question to ask them or to justify the new amount of the expense for offering training.

SENATOR WESELY: We'll work something out on that. We'll take another look at it.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Okay.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Anyone else wishing to discuss the advancement of LB 678? Senator Wesely, did you wish to close?

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. Speaker, members, I want to...the questions have been excellent. I appreciate Senator Robak's questions, Senator Robinson, Senator Bohlke and Senator Beutler, the points you have made are excellent. It has been a while since we have taken a look at this piece of legislation and I think that