

SENATOR BEUTLER: And my second question, and I appreciate your taking a look at it, my second question relating to the committee amendments again has to do with the language in the new language treatment program taking...taking it in the context of the new definition in the remaining portion of the main bill. I think the impression that the public would have would be that if you were releasing them to some kind of treatment program, that there is some measure of supervision. But would I be right or wrong in saying that the judge ordering somebody to take pills at home with no supervision whatsoever but simply with the direction to take some pills at home would be a treatment program under the definition in the bill? Is that correct?

SENATOR WESELY: I think the discretion of the judge would have to play a part in it.

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR WESELY: You're right about...if you look at the definition of outpatient treatment, you will see that your interpretation is correct but, obviously, the judge would have the ability to set a higher standard and allowing for that individual to go to a mental health center, for instance, to actually take the medication rather than leaving it up to that individual to do it and then monitoring them over a period of time. So your interpretation is right, but I think the application would be a different one.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So the question is whether we...whether we want to place this at the discretion of the judge. That's how we should make the decision basically considering all the other factors, right.

SENATOR WESELY: I think that's a good point and I think, yeah, the judge's discretion is important at this point.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Last question and I'm sorry I'm taking so much time but...

SENATOR WESELY: That's all right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...one of the...in the main bill itself with regard to the reimbursement...

SPEAKER BAACK: Time. Senator Robinson, did you wish to speak?