

passed today that will soon be over there, obviously, there's...you know, he has to...this is the Governor talking, not myself, in what he mentioned yesterday he had to balance the books and I concur with his numbers at least to balance the books, he has to veto at least \$4 million for the biennium and, obviously, it would have to...since all these things are back in our possession, it would have to come out of one of the items mentioned on that back page. And so that is all...the only thing to keep in mind is that unlike other years oftentimes we are...the Governor has vetoed back an additional amount and so we actually have room to work with on vetoes because we're actually already above the 3 percent reserve. Today's case, that is not the case, we are already as we stand right now until the Governor takes some additional actions with vetoes, we are already beyond our required minimum reserve and so, as is always the case, anything overridden will come out of something already sitting on his desk and people need to keep that in mind, and, yes, Senator Coordsen's amendment is a small one and, yes, it was in the mainline bill but, you know, I am of...always have been of the opinion if you don't have money in the bank, you can't write a check. And at least in the statement that we have that the bank is sending us, in this case, we don't have money in the account to write checks and so, for that reason, I would argue against Senator Coordsen's...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR MOORE: ...motion and those following it.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Moore. Does anyone else wish to speak to the Coordsen motion? Seeing none, do you have closing, Senator Coordsen?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Very briefly, Madam President. I am grateful that the conversations by Senator Moore and Senator Warner were on the general philosophies of overrides and not on this particular override. I had told several people last evening when asked how I felt about different issues that were on the override list I just...my simple answer was, no, until I had overlooked this particular one. This one, basically, comes about because Judge Novicoff is, as a presiding judge, is...I think a fairer word would be tight, a better word might be conservative, but he is very frugal with government funds. Some would say he's maybe a little bit frugal with some of the compensation funds but that's another question. He has tried to