

or is this the 90th, this is the 90th day of the session, excuse me, I wanted to stay one more day instead of two. Here we are on the 90th day of the session, and Senator Kristensen says, this plan is not right, Senator Hall, and the Revenue Committee, and the other people that supported 829 are wrong, wrong, wrong. But Senator Kristensen has not brought forth a plan that's right, or has even brought forth a plan, you heard him say that. So what...what...what right, or why is he bringing forth a proposal here to stay two more days? How are we going to solve it in two days when we didn't solve it in 90 days, and particularly since he evidently still does not have a solution in hand. So, with all those facts, all that consideration of the fact that we don't have a plan here, we're just going to extend the session two days in hopes that we find one on the doorstep, like some of these have been found out in the lobby, you know, I think some of them were found in the manger, dressed in swaddling clothes, but that nobody claims. I don't think...I don't think Senator Kristensen, at least from what I hear, he doesn't have a plan in mind. He says we just stay down here two more days and we fix it because we could not fix it in 90 days. I oppose his proposal to extend the session.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Lamb. Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABOUD: Madam President, colleagues, I rise as well to oppose the proposal for an extension of the session for an additional two days. The problem with LB 829 is that it tries to create the image that we have a crisis in the state over the tax situation, personal property taxes. And I guess if you want to analogize it, it would be similar to Senator Hall driving down the street, let's say down on 13th and O Street, and there wouldn't be a stop sign there, but he would be pulled over by a policeman from Lincoln, and he would say, you just ran a stop sign that's going to be put there in two months. And then he would be charged and convicted for a crime which may occur in the future. And that is what we're attempting to do today with LB 829. We're guessing, and that's all we're doing. We're guessing that the Nebraska Supreme Court might strike down some of our personal property tax sections. There's not one person in this body, there's not one person in this state, and I would assume that there's probably not one on the Supreme Court that would be willing to state that in the future they will be striking down that personal property tax system. But yet we're changing our personal property tax system, we're saying that certain items should not be taxed, and other items should be