

accused of being devious, there is an additional problem that has now occurred that I must apprise the body of, and had I known this prior to the bill, I would have said it. I didn't know it till afterwards. But when we failed to advance LB 783AE with the emergency clause, in that bill contained the language for the Cash Reserve Transfer, and it says that \$3 million reserve transfer shall occur by July 15th, '91, and the \$2 million transfer shall occur by July 15th, '92. Well, obviously since the emergency clause was not added to the bill, it is subject to interpretation, and I want to be up front about that, but since the emergency clause did not pass, there is a valid question of whether or not that transfer could occur. I guess my argument probably is that it could not because it says shall occur by July 15th. So the cash reserve transfer will not occur, at least the first year. The A bill, regardless if it has the emergency clause on it, will be a valid appropriation. So what that would mean, that first \$3 million if, indeed, it was appropriated would not come from the Cash Reserve Fund now, it would come from the General Fund to the tune of \$3 million this year. And next year the cash reserve transfer would be valid as well as the appropriation. As I only say that, as I said, I hesitate to say it because it complicates the issue. Had I been aware of that when we were discussing the bill on Final Reading, I would have said that but only after the bill failed to advance with the emergency clause did I become aware of that. So that is just for further discussion. As I had mentioned earlier before noon, I am of the opinion that if you vote to pass a bill, unless there is some unusual circumstance, you should probably vote to advance the A bill as well. I know Senator Morrissey will make an argument otherwise on this bill, and I understand that, but I think it's one of those things if you make the policy to spend the money, then the up front thing to do is to appropriate the money. But as I have said, I have voted no on the bill and I will continue to vote no on the A bill because I just have always thought it was bad policy. So given that added caveat with the problem of the transfer now possibly not being a valid transfer and the fact that this A bill will, if, indeed, the appropriation does occur and it will occur, we passed the bill, that will take \$3 million, another \$3 million out of the General Fund, and, obviously, as I said that it is just my opinion. I am sure that would be subject to an Attorney General's opinion and other possibilities as well. On the other end, if we do not pass the A bill, the transfer does not occur as originally thought, at least for this year, the \$2 million transfer will not occur until the next