

correct, we will find damages against the other side. But to come before us at this point, when both sides have followed the rules up until now, and procedures as prescribed by law have been complied with, I don't think that we can change the rules. And I would oppose Senator Beutler's amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL PRESIDING

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Byars. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Madam President, members, you know, I can't say anything with much more conviction than Senator Byars. I mean, I think a lot of us have talked to the gentleman of which Senator Beutler refers to. And, I guess, as I listened to that story I always wonder, as in everything we do, there are two sides to every story. I've always...but I've, obviously, never taken the time to find out the other side of the story, I've always wondered what it is. I have question of Senator Beutler, just to concur with this...this would, indeed, impact the pending case of which you spoke, correct?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Moore, it would affect the pending case, I intend it to affect the pending case. I don't see why somebody should...we should allow someone to have his head cut off, if it shouldn't happen, you know.

SENATOR MOORE: That could apply to several things in this Legislature, obviously, if you understand where I'm coming from there. But I don't...I won't ask you the question of who the attorney was that...I don't think it was filed incorrectly, because it was filed certainly within the standards that were accepted at the time. I don't need to ask you that question, I think we all just know it, though. But I, too, like Senator Byars, I don't have a problem with changing this into the future. I guess the question I have, if, indeed, we make this change for the individual, are we becoming the...are we judging as to what happened, right or wrong, in that situation? I don't think any of us know enough about that situation to make that judgment at this time. And I guess my concern is if, indeed, we adopt this amendment of Senator Beutler's for one specific person, even though I think the law probably...the change in law may make sense, my concern is that it is held out as special legislation for one individual, and I guess I have a problem with that.