

do that to your constituents? Yes, it does me and it should you. So don't let just the community consent vote be the only question you ask on future costs of this facility, folks, keep asking them, keep asking them. Don't let an amendment like this be the only time you ask, should we set up a special situation, the only one where we're going to address statute of repose, should that be special, the only time we do that? No, we should...the argument now is, no, not just with low-level waste, that's not right. But if we make it the only place where we do a direct appeal to the Supreme Court that you're going to do later, then it's all right. It works both ways, the street is a two-way street, folks. The questions of cost to your citizens, your constituents, the questions of liability need to be asked all the time, not just when the people in the lobby ask you to ask them. So let's be honest and let's ask the questions all of the time, and keep questioning this process into the future. I'd urge you to support Senator Elmer's first step to solving some of the many problems in this low-level waste issue that still exist, that I know we're all very tired of, as, indeed, I read in the transcript when I reviewed the debate the other day on the Commonwealth thing, we're all tired of that, too, but yet I would have supported it. I was tired of it, but I would have supported that, because it was the right thing to do. Promises made to those people, promises not kept. It was the right thing to do. I would have supported it, even though I was tired of it. Let's continue to question this process, even though you're all tired of it, even though you don't want to hear about it anymore, because there are a lot of problems that will cost your constituents a lot of money, potentially, in the future, and we need to get those addressed. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Morrissey. Senator Rod Johnson.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Madam President, members, I support the advancement of the bill. There is some question about the...whether or not the NRC will allow for what we're doing in LB 748. I think one of the beauties of passing the bill, as it's written now, will give us an opportunity, over the interim period, for the NRC to give us some direction as to whether or not it is necessary for the state to assume title to the property as well as to the waste. Obviously, we prefer not to do that. But, in the meantime, hopefully, a dialogue will develop between this state and the NRC in how we approach this issue. So we plan on...obviously, the Natural Resources