

two years brought projections of what US Ecology can make on this compact, possibly a billion dollars over 30 years. Other hidden costs, we still don't have the liability end figured out. Would you also like to see that projected, those projected costs come out?

SENATOR MOORE: Well, I'd be interested in those costs as well, but I do not think those would be directly impacted on the state itself. I mean, on the citizens, yes, but as far as the State of Nebraska bearing those costs directly.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: And I agree with you. I'd like to see those costs too. I'd like to see a study of staying in and a study of getting out, and I'd like to see those come together and those figures come out at the same time so we can compare them without giving people time to lobby and do like they did in the 402 campaign and threaten senior citizens with loss of their air conditioners and threaten hospitals with loss of nuclear medicine and threaten universities with the loss of research, like they did. And I have...if you want to see those ads, I have them here and, much to my dismay, even labor was on that side discussing the fallacies and the vote on 402 of getting out of the compact. And there is a senior citizen leader in here specifically quoted as saying air conditioners will be lost to senior citizens. So that original discussion, if anyone wants to say 402 was a vote on community consent, it was, and it wasn't even considered. Never in that vote did someone say this is a vote for it to be in your community. So, I agree, we need to look at these costs. Withdrawal, when that discussion ever comes up, this is not that discussion and staying in. Those costs should be looked at and it's not easy to look at but they should be looked at and brought out at the same time and then both can be weighed by this body and then we can decide how to proceed. I have asked for that for the last couple of years and I haven't gotten that either. I haven't gotten that either.

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: The Attorney General of Kansas, I believe, reviewed the compact also and decided that US Ecology could possibly profit a billion dollars over 30 years. That was in '87. In '89, I said the same thing and in '90 I said the same thing. We should look at these costs. I said the contract is solid gold for US Ecology and I still believe that it hasn't been changed and costs at that time were only the concern of