

language physically remains in there. It may not be able to do anything.

SENATOR NELSON: Sure.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yeah, okay.

SENATOR NELSON: All right. I did have a question and then Senator Wickersham helped me out, that these are the only three institutions that we're talking about. For myself, I will be voting against the amendment and I will be voting against Senator Chambers. I have sympathy. I think they received about 63 cents on the dollar and I know that that's not a lot on their returns and the loss and it's unfortunate this happened, but this, I think Senator Landis said this is the last time this is coming back. I'll bet it won't be. It will be another argument tomorrow or next week or next month and I know it's unfortunate but I don't think that we need anything like this in our Constitution.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Nelson. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Question.

PRESIDENT MOUL: I see sufficient seconds. We'll now vote on the motion to call the question. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted on the motion to cease debate? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Debate has ceased. Senator Chambers, for closing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, the reason I'm offering this amendment is to coincide with the idea of finality to this whole matter. And, Senator Nelson, Senator Kristensen was correct in stating that the language will remain in the Constitution unless removed by the people, but the language itself is operative. It can say that such and such a thing will happen only up to a certain time and after that there is no further authorization, but the language would still be there. And it might not be such a bad thing to have for a time, a commemoration in that document of an action by the state which was ill-advised when they created the