

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Will. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, I would ask a question of either Senator Smith or Senator Will. What...when you talk about giving the Department of Revenue the leeway to develop or choose a type of game, how far does that go? I mean, does that...

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Senator Will, what we're doing here is defining between what we mean by type versus variation and that's what we're really intending to do. We're not going to give them the discretion to choose another type. The enabling legislation says there will be two types of games played. What they can do then is like come up with a new...a new variation on a type, one of the two types.

SENATOR HALL: So you would...you would definitely...you don't change the limit on the two types of games?

SENATOR SMITH: No, no. We limit in the enabling legislation the type of gambling game the state will get involved in. This we're talking about here...and I think Senator Will went through that too, when we talk about like people get tired of one kind of a game and you come up with another new variation of that.

SENATOR HALL: To try to keep them addicted to it.

SENATOR SMITH: Pardon?

SENATOR HALL: To try to keep them addicted to it.

SENATOR SMITH: That's very true. Tim, far be it from you.

SENATOR HALL: But this, in no way, would affect the issue, Senator Smith, of the types of games that the Legislature could choose to authorize.

SENATOR SMITH: No, no. No, this gives more latitude...

SENATOR HALL: Well...

SENATOR SMITH: ...to the department so that they don't have to