

to talk seriously about what we see in a fair tax system. This may not be it. I think saying that a three-fifth majority, to grant an exemption, when we know any exemption that we have puts taxes back on the every day homeowner, residential property taxpayer, I don't think that's too much to ask to give that little bit of protection, a five vote margin, cushion down here, to cushion the residential property taxpayer from having to absorb additional property taxes when we take things off the rolls. Don't think it's too much to ask. I commend Senator Lindsay for bringing the amendment, because he may have done more than just brought us an amendment, he may have brought us a litmus test on what we can do with the rest of LR 186.

SPEAKER BAACK: You've heard the closing on the amendment offered by Senator Lindsay. We will now vote on that amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record vote has been requested. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Read record vote as found on pages 2736-37 of the Legislative Journal.) 14 ayes, 26 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment fails. Next item, Mr. Clerk. Call is raised.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Warner would move to amend. (Warner amendment appears on page 2737 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is a slight amendment, I would classify it as a technical amendment to the one that we adopted...I offered earlier. Question was raised with me as to whether or not, if you look on line 1 it says, each exemption from taxation to be consistent with the balance of the provisions of LR 186, the words "each exemption from taxation by valuation", which would be consistent, should have been added. And in line 7, following the word "exemption", any new exemption from taxation by valuation of tangible personal properties of the two are tied together. The 186, as it was introduced, with the amendment that we adopted a little bit earlier, and I'd move the adoption. I do not believe it changes the amendment in any fashion, but it makes it very clear that the two are tied together so there is