

with his amendment and submit it as a separate item. Perhaps if Senator Lindsay had taken the same approach, I wouldn't be so concerned. However, I do think it is necessary to keep the amendment that we submit to the voters simple. We do not need to complicate it with other issues. It will be difficult enough to pass as is. I believe it is simply too important an amendment to start tagging on things which in any way affect its potential for passage and in this instance I think it would be negative. I also think it is inappropriate to use this opportunity to attempt to put a super majority vote restriction into the Constitution when we have traditionally reserved those for rather more special circumstances than we're talking about here with personal property taxation. I did appreciate a little bit of what Senator Lindsay had to say about our past love or distaste, however you may look at it, with the uniform and proportionate clause. It seems to me that we the Legislature in the past has ignored it as much as they possibly could and that that has been sanctioned by the people. It seems to me that the amendment that we're now proposing is simply an extension of what has been ratified in the past by the people and I expect will be ratified again if we keep the amendment as is and do not tinker with it as proposed in the Lindsay amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. Senator Robinson.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Call the question.

SPEAKER BAACK: Do I see five hands? I do. We will now vote on ceasing debate. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? We are voting on ceasing debate. Have you all voted? We are voting on ceasing debate. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Senator Robinson.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Have a call of the house.

SPEAKER BAACK: We have a request for the house to go under call.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Take call in votes.

SPEAKER BAACK: All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 17 ayes, 0 nays to go under call.