

SENATOR HALL: . So that there wouldn't be a problem, say for example, if there was a...just...a hypothetical, a sales tax on professional services amendment that...

SENATOR LINDSAY: It's a good hypothetical.

SENATOR HALL: Be a good hypothetical. Got repealed with 25 votes, would it take then three-fifths votes to put it back in place?

SENATOR LINDSAY: No, I think we're dealing with personal property.

SENATOR HALL: Just personal property. Okay, but that's as an example. We're dealing with personal property issues, but that...if that was a...(interruption)

SENATOR LINDSAY: If that was a property tax...(interruption)

SENATOR HALL: ...Final Reading proposal that the body would adopt and send over to the Governor for enacting in to law, correct?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Right. Similar to what, for example, on this particular LR. It needs 40 votes to pass Final Reading.

SENATOR HALL: Right. Okay, thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator...

SENATOR HALL: Oh, no, no, I'm not done. I'm done with him but I'm going to use some more of my time, if that's okay. Thank you, Madam President and members, the...you know, first blush I like the proposal, I really do. I think it makes good sense to tighten up as best we can the whole issue of granting of exemptions. I mean, the only concern I have is that it may lose support for the proposal. If that's not the case, I would urge adoption of the amendment because it does, it moves us exactly in the direction we need to and that's to I think allow the citizens the opportunity to determine what their destiny is going to be because the feeling, you know, is that the Legislature doesn't have the ability to respond. I don't buy that argument, but I'm buying it a little more after the last couple of weeks as we've dealt with the personal property tax issue. With that I would be interested in seeing what the rest