

are similar and that they both use electricity. They both are in machines and they both produce revenue. Absolutely. Other than that, I don't think there is any...and they're both considered gambling. Other than that, I don't think there is anything that the two have in common.

SENATOR WILL: I would argue that the other common characteristic they share is the...is the rapidity with which you can play, with which an individual can test and can stand and play the game. That's the...that's the reason for the increased revenue, ladies and gentlemen. And while that may be very laudable, and I agree with Senator Hall that if this is the type of gaming we're going to use, it needs to be regulated in the way that he specifies in his amendment, but I think, I would argue, again, that this is something that the state should be doing. And if the body wants to put that amendment into LB 849, that would be the proper place for it, I would say, to run a game of this type at the state level. And I think that what you're doing essentially here, if you vote to adopt this amendment, which has 50 percent of the gross proceeds going to the State of Nebraska, essentially what you have done is said, State of Nebraska, you're going to be the beneficiary of an instant game of the type that we're not going to allow you in a straightforward state lottery but essentially you're going to be allowing a free agent to decide when and where those games are going to be played and that free agent is going to be those local lotteries around the state. I think that if we're going to use this as a state revenue source, we need to adopt it at the state level. We need to make it or something similar to it, which I would argue video lotteries are, Senator Hall and I, obviously, have a difference of opinion on that, but I would argue that a video lottery is a...is a form of this type of gaming, that if we're going to legalize it, the state should do it, the state should decide where the outlets are, the state should decide who is going to be running the lottery and we ought to use it as a state revenue source and not use local gaming to subsidize the state. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Will. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm back again, Tim. And, basically, I would...I'm going to give you a little bit of my time but I'm going to save it toward the end so that you can clarify to me what your...what your understanding of a player-accessed device is. He didn't even hear what I said so I