

present when LB 754 is debated from being here. It's a total circumvention of the system. It should not be allowed and I would hope that this body will not subscribe to that. Here we are on Final Reading. We have plenty to do. The system is in place. It ought to be adhered to and the motion by Senator Smith ought...ought not to be approved. She is probably capable of arguing her position on LB 754 and I am willing to debate her on that. I am willing to be as lengthy as is necessary but this is an aberration and should not be allowed and I oppose it very vigorously. If it does come to a motion and to a vote, I hope that you will vote against the revote on a worthless bill and not pass it.

**SPEAKER BAACK:** Thank you, Senator Schmit. Senator Coordsen. Senator Wehrbein, did you wish to address the motion to reconsider?

**SENATOR WEHRBEIN:** Yes, Mr. Speaker, and members, I just simply urge that we reconsider the vote on the last one. There's been quite a bit in the paper the last few days on the pest problem across the state, particularly with coyotes and other areas. I think we ought to be looking at this more carefully and the amount of damage done by these beasts. The predator control program is an ongoing program across the nation and I think Nebraska ought to be participating. I would like to...I was kind of surprised at the vote. I think it ought to be reconsidered.

**SPEAKER BAACK:** Senator Rod Johnson, on the motion to reconsider.

**SENATOR R. JOHNSON:** Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to take a moment to clarify the situation if I can. Senator Moore did a good job of bringing the bill back to strike the enacting clause to explain his position that LB 142, in its pristine form, was not necessary because we have, as a matter of fact, through your approval, tacked the funding for the animal damage control program onto the trailer bill of the appropriations package. LB 142 is really...all it is intent language. Now I talked with Jeanne Glenn down at the Fiscal Office this morning. I've talked with Senator Moore a little bit this morning about the necessity of LB 142 itself. I think the Department of Agriculture would feel very comfortable if this bill passed because this is the only program that was eliminated back in 1985 that has not been refunded. This body has given approval