

factor is for all of the community colleges across the state, and what then the revenue increase would be based on that projected growth. I would be happy to try to answer any questions and just would urge the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Is there, Mr. Clerk, an amendment to the amendment?

ASSISTANT CLERK: Yes, Madam President, Senator Hall would move to amend AM1805. (Read FA179 as found on page 2605 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President and members. The amendment is a clarification amendment and it does nothing more than guarantee that the intent of the language is to just increase one-half percent in a community college area, not double it, in effect, and with the striking of those two words, there is no, I think, question as to how the amendment would read. It is just a technical change that the introducers, I understand, have agreed to and I would urge adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Rasmussen, do you want to speak on this amendment? Senator Schimek, do you wish to speak to it?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, Madam President and members of the body, I would be in support of this amendment. I think the words "in addition" make it sound as if we are going to increase it 5.5 percent over the already anticipated or increase of 5 percent the governing boards are already allowed. So that would make it a 10.5 percent factor and that is not what we meant to say here. So I would urge your adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Madam President, I would just ask for a ruling on the germaneness of the issue. As far as I can see, the bill, we are talking about personal property taxes, and now all of a sudden we are talking about a lid provision. That is entirely unrelated, doesn't deal with the same section of statutes,