

relationship to the court decisions. My amendment had come about in relationship to LB 391, which I had introduced, to enable those refunds to be made this year. This we are holding to, hopefully, become part of the long-term solution. We found that as we looked at the burdensome problems related to refunds, that we need some statutory changes. Because of this reason, we came together with the executive branch, the Department of Revenue, the local subdivisions, school districts, cities, counties, and the centrally represented as centrally assessed taxpayers, and have in this amendment changed the statutory language to enable us to make this not quite so burdensome. So I would urge your support for the Warner amendment. If there are any questions, I certainly would be glad to answer them.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Byars. Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yeah, I just have a question for Senator Hall. I am trying to scan over the amendment and I thought I heard you make some reference to LB 1059, and some applicability of this amendment to that. Can you go through that again? I am sorry I missed it.

SENATOR HALL: All it does, Senator Withem, is correlate the issue of 1059 as it relates to the lid to the revenue that is raised through this proposal. It does not change any aspect of 1059. It just incorporates that into the proposal as it is before us. It is a technical amendment that the...

SENATOR WITHEM: Okay. The bill, as drafted or as it came out of committee, has different lid provisions affecting schools and other subdivisions, that if we want to impact upon that, we still need to run an amendment later on to deal with that other concern?

SENATOR HALL: There are two amendments that immediately follow that I understand are going to be run...

SENATOR WITHEM: Okay. Okay, I just wanted to make sure this doesn't supersede the need to do that is all.

SENATOR HALL: No.

SENATOR WITHEM: Okay, thanks a lot.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Lynch.