

with in the plan again was there was only one plan that we actually had to vote on that had the balance of 49 senators' districts included and that all the numbers came out. And so what we ended up with is what's been known in the press, in the media and generally in the hallways is the Bernard-Stevens plan because he was the one that brought the initial plan along with several other members involved with it. As you look at that plan, you will see that there has been some significant changes. There were two philosophies we dealt with. One was the extent to which one senator's district had to be eliminated and then many other senators' districts would be drastically altered in order to draw that population down to the population density areas of Sarpy and Douglas as well as Lancaster County. Later, through some of the work that was involved, a decision was made that the 20,000 or so persons that...out of the northeast districts that were lost, that the committee decided, at least at this point of the game, that it would be best to move them...move that whole district rather than to totally reconfigure those legislators that are in the north...northeast Nebraska area. And therein lies the basic standard behind the Bernard-Stevens plan. And I know there's other plans still being floated around but, to my knowledge, there is not yet been one that has been run through the computers to give us those specific balances. And so what we have before us is LB 614 as it's been offered by the committee. With that, I believe I will sit down and listen to the debate and deal with the other amendments. There will be a technical amendment coming along. If you turn to the statistics page, you would see that we inadvertently, in our committee amendment or committee offering the other day, we had a slot between District 1 and District 2 and in so doing that one slot the formal aspect of that amendment did not include one precinct as they swapped it and so, therefore, the statistics got off. The 2.83, we know what happened and again that was one...one precinct that was supposed to go from District 1 into District 2, wasn't in that particular motion in front of the committee and so, therefore, we didn't do it there but we know what that is and it was simply an oversight on our part in terms of moving those...we moved like two precincts instead of three that we had originally intended. So those statistics on that plan would line up once that amendment is there. There is also some of you particularly in the urban areas that the language describing your district is a little bit different than the map and, again, it's because the language is so technical in nature that in some cases something...some line was inadvertently called running north when it should have said