

a majority of the members and as well as the court as they have reviewed the information. Senator Kristensen's amendment, like I say, deviates from a long-held tradition and that being the nesting of the Supreme Court justices' districts being specifically nested with the congressional. But I'm not sure that under today's standards and the technology we have that that was typically a function of convenience more so than it was out of any other rational reason. So, therefore, I have no problem with the Senator Kristensen amendment. We also have the E clause on it so that we kind of deal with the selection of the appellate court members afterward. So I think it's in fair shape, the numbers are in good shape and under my review and under the computer statistics they're all within ranges. So I would move the advancement of the Supreme Court judicial district bill on to Select File.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Conway. We will now vote on the committee amendments. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 1 nay, Madam President, on adoption of the committee amendments.

PRESIDENT MOUL: The committee amendments are adopted. Is there anyone who wishes to speak further on LB 616? Senator Conway, do you have closing?

SENATOR CONWAY: I would just move the advancement, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Conway. We will now vote on the motion to advance LB 616. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 2 nays, Madam President, on the motion to advance the bill.

PRESIDENT MOUL: LB 616 is advanced. LB 614.

CLERK: LB 614, Madam President, introduced by Senator Conway. (Read title.) The bill was introduced January 23, referred to Government, advanced to General File. I have Government Committee amendments pending.