

Dakota and I think Pierce also was included in that and took those and distributed them to the Third District and kept Madison County. And there have been...and there was one plan, I think one of Senator Conway's plans that ate even further into the southeastern part of the state and ended up taking Johnson, Nemaha, and some of those...Richardson, those four counties down at the bottom southeast corner of the state.

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I'm sure that we can devise other scenarios and I'm surely willing to look at others. But, to date, I haven't seen a plan that has the kind of understandable boundaries and that keeps from dividing counties that the committee plan, as advanced, has. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you, Madam President, and members, I'm going to rise to support the Conway amendment. Evidently, if this is a Republican-Democratic split, I must be from the south side of the tracks because I don't see that happening. I think if you're going to look at what's best for the Madison County-Norfolk area that it needs to stay right where it's at right now. I think it's being served very well and I think if you break it up and move it out, you're going to move a big population base from that one area to the west. I'm not saying that it can't be served well from there by Congressman Barrett, but I just think it needs to be in the east right now where they have a lot more in common. It seems like this session we're picking on Madison County a lot anyway and I think maybe this would be one place that we could leave them where they're at and I think it would help the whole area. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Withem. There are no other senators wishing to be recognized at this time. I will recognize Senator Conway for closing.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Madam President, and members, several things were said and, again, the arguments are valid. In some cases the same arguments wouldn't be until one would notice or have a declaration of which side of the issue you're on to know whether the argument was falling in behalf or against such a proposal. We talk about history, we can go back to 1960,