

know. And I specifically say I do not want to hear all the horror stories. That isn't part of my job. I am not a very good counselor. I can handle your legal work but I can't make the hurt go away. And so the six-month end of it, I think, when I balance the marriages on the rebound versus the inconvenience in the legal system and what happens in the vast majority of cases, I balance that, and I guess my balance is that I'd rather put the 30 days on the cooling off period in the front, and allow the vast majority of people to go back to normal life as soon as they can, and that is at the back end. That is why I signed on with Senator Beutler with this amendment, and with that, I also would support the amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President. I'd like to just, I guess, throw out the other side and I do it not real strongly because I am not sure on which way to go on this. There is certainly valid reasons why to go either way on it, but I'd like to at least, I guess, throw out what some of the rationale are for voting against this. When I first came in the body, I thought this is one of these things that ought to be changed. There is no reason to have that waiting period. I am not sure right now. I can't say that one way is bad or one way is better than the other, I guess. We have gotten some calls, I think probably have gotten calls, excuse me, from some...from marriage counselors who suggested that allowing an instant remarriage or a remarriage without a waiting period is not a good thing. Question, of course, is how far do you protect people from each other, and are we just delaying the inevitable anyway. And I don't know, that is the rationale that has been offered. There is also question on health insurance. During the six-month period, you still are considered married, so you can work with that in the decree to allow health insurance continued on through the six-month period. As I mentioned, I have got real mixed emotions about this. It is not a...it is not a...I guess, it is not something I don't think that needs to be passed right now. There is a bill, I think, still in committee, if it was advanced out and worked on a little bit, I can't say that I would oppose it. But, frankly, I am not real dead set on opposing this amendment but it is something, I guess, I probably will and it is just simply because maybe we could...maybe it is something that could wait until next session before we pass it, and where some of those concerns can, at least, attempted to be