

closing, Senator Kristensen? Closing is waived. We will now vote on the Kristensen amendment. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on adoption of Senator Kristensen's and Beutler's amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Madam President, Senators Beutler and Kristensen would move to amend. Senator, AM1966.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR LANDIS: Madam President, I think Senator Beutler is going to handle this one to begin with and then...is that right, Senator Beutler? Okay.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Madam President, I am sorry, I didn't hear the number. We are on 1966?

CLERK: Yes, sir.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Madam President, members of the Legislature, I hope you will listen very closely on this one because this is a little more substantive in nature and is something that I really want to ask you to think about. Probably, stretching Senator Chizek's indulgence a little bit, and I wish to thank him for being very fair to me throughout the committee process on all my bills, but this matter has to do with a law that is so antiquated and so out of date, and yet still defended by a particular vocal minority in our society. But it is so antiquated that I feel compelled to bring it to your attention and, at least, ask you to think about it because I will continue to come back with this bill, which was killed by the Judiciary Committee, until, hopefully, we can get some change in this matter. Currently, under our law, before you can get a divorce, there are two waiting periods. One waiting period is before the decree is actually entered. Once an application for a divorce is filed, there is then at least a 60-day waiting period before there can be a hearing and a decree entered. So we have that 60-day waiting period. Then in