

services that I would use, it would only be about I guess \$143 million more than we need for a temporary proposal. And, Senator Chambers, I agree, I'm loving the debate and I love this time of the session. The fact of the matter is, is that until an amendment like that gets adopted, folks rarely take this kind of discussion very seriously and now everybody is getting a little serious. But the problem is, is that there will be a motion to adjourn here, probably pretty quick, and we'll rush to do that and we will delay, maybe it won't be the inevitable, but we'll delay because we enjoy the process of delay. We can hear ourselves echo off the walls of this fine Chamber and we can continue to talk about how we don't want to tax this person and that person. We don't want it to fall on those individuals and these individuals, but yet we won't support any type of proposal that goes about the process of solving this problem. Others have had every opportunity. I missed Senator Lindsay's plan. I missed Senator Chambers' plan. I did see Senator Schmit's plan, Senator Rogers' plan. We debated that the other day. I don't have any problem with taking a look at other options. This proposal as it is laid out, an amendment to an amendment that deals with all services, will raise \$184 million. In addition to that, the surcharge on the depreciation is in there which is approximately \$43 million and then there is a little over \$10 million in terms of the corporate income tax. So you'd be looking at \$184, 42, 125 and 10, \$135 million, excuse me, \$235 million that would be raised. You know, if we hadn't passed the budget bill over to Final, we could probably figure out a way to spend it. The fact of the matter is, ladies and gentlemen, this is the amendment that everything that Senator Lindsay said about my amendment is accurate. It is not a worthy proposal in terms of being up front and honest about the problem that we have. If you want to reject the personal property tax problem, I can live with that. I can live with that because what you're doing then is you're saying we're going to let real estate taxes go up and that's exactly what we have got to face. We failed to recognize that. We haven't talked about that. We find criticism and all kinds of opposition to whatever is offered, whether it be my proposal, Senator Will's proposal, Senator Warner's proposal, the Governor's proposals, plural. The issue here that is before us right now is a wholesale tax on services. I don't have any problem with the...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...concept as a free-standing proposal. I'm