

colleague, Senator Chambers, and of course, calling attention to whatever media attention that he has been denied in the last day or two, having been covered, I am sure, wall to wall and border to border on any other issue that he might have spoken on, I do want to call attention to the body that at lunch today we, a group of us, went downtown and had a very delightful lunch. The waitress came over towards the end of our time and said that a woman of the bar had recognized Ernie and wanted to videotape his happy birthday greetings to her brother and so there is a videotape someplace in this city, even as we speak, of Ernie in an establishment that sells alcohol. And I just want my most humble colleague, who was pointing up the vocabulary of others of his colleagues to point out that some of his shortcomings might wind up in the public record if he keeps it up. Thank you.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I don't know if I want to follow that with anything serious or not, but I would just rise in support of Senator Chambers' amendment. I think that if we're going to be fair, we have to be consistent. I did just have a discussion with Senator Lindsay and I would like to ask Senator Lindsay a question or two if I might, John. John, would you explain grandfathering as you explained it to me because I think it's important that the body understands this.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Actually, if we're going to talk about grandfathers, we ought to talk, maybe have Senator Chambers or somebody old enough to be one, or is one, I'm sorry, but... actually, I don't claim to be, by any means, an authority on this. We were, actually, Senator Landis and I were talking about the question of how can you ever have a grandfather clause and we were kind of trying to figure that out from a legal standpoint, a question, how does that tie in with special legislation? And I think what it referring to on a grandfather clause, be my guess anyway from reading the Constitution here and the Haman v. Marsh case, I think what it deals with is this situation we are granting, the language is granting to any corporation, association or individual the right to, excuse me, any special or exclusive privileges, immunity or franchise, and I think what we're doing here is we are giving something whereas in a general grandfather clause you are simply leaving the status quo. You're not taking something away from them. In this case what we're doing is giving them what they have, but