

Appropriations Committee I made a motion, in fact, that would provide that intent language in the appropriations bill, 90 percent for both bargaining units and 4.5 and 4.5. I was told it really made no difference because the university could do whatever they damn pleased anyhow. I offered the amendment earlier, along with a bunch of others, indicating that intent and withdrew it because it was probably futile. In the Appropriations Committee we never talked about any additional \$3 million except I did read it in the paper once. I understand the source of the \$3 million maybe would come out of Cash Funds the university had, which is about a \$100 million a year they never spend on a day to day basis. We know some of that's revolving. We know some of that's cash. You got to understand if it comes out of the Cash Funds, which one of the Regents suggested, that would mean that would pay for it the first year and all the rest of you would have to figure out how to come up with it the second year and for there on after. Probably could come out of revolving funds. I don't know why they would need that many revolving funds anyhow. But the point is they can do it any way they want to anyhow. I think we ought to take them on good faith and I want...I think we ought to give the Regents a chance to see, if not, they listen. God, if they're not listening with four full-time lobbyist, I don't know who is. But we gave them the 10.6 million and how it should be spent, based on our good faith. The bargaining unit signed off on that, that's how it should be. Why are we all of a sudden coming up with another 3 million bucks? For what reason? It's almost as if some people in here were set up to find a way because they knew because they already blew it, because they said they might try to find \$3 million somewhere and couldn't justify. I checked to see what fund it could come out of and they would not admit to what fund they have around that might have a loose 3 million in cash that they could use in salaries. So now we're even talking about using General Fund revenues. I know we have a lot of important professors at the university, Senator Crosby. But I think we've got a lot of important teachers also at the K through 12 level. Are we going to take it out of the high school teachers' and grade school teachers' and whatever it is hides on this one too? I think everybody needs a little help. We made an agreement. We had a public hearing. We shouldn't try to spend this kind of money and leave the impression we're giving them some leeway or authority. You people have got to know they can do it anyhow. They can do whatever they darn please. They're a separate world, all among...all of themselves. They don't have to answer to this