

thought at the time when 182 was provided, was passed, that there was sufficient language, intent language in that particular bill to cause a report to be given to the Legislature that would detail the expenditures under that appropriation. Based upon the report that I handed out this morning, that you all had on your desk, the report to the Legislature, that the language was insufficient. So amendment 1793 then basically adds language that the Department of Social Services shall record and report expenditures relating to the implementation of laws 1989, LB 182. Now it's been brought to my attention that with the \$500,000 that was appropriated back in 1989, that it was put to good and useful purposes, not necessarily those that directly bore upon the intent of 182 which the appropriation was to provide money to cover extra costs of services that might be demanded by the courts and upheld by the three-judge appeals panel which did not fit within the appropriations structure or the program structure of the Department of Social Services. I still believe that when the Legislature appropriates money for a specific purpose, that that money should be used for that purpose and if a report is given...is required to be given to the Legislature with regard to that appropriation, that the money expenditures in that area should be well defined enough that we know whether or not that appropriation was sufficient, whether it was not enough, whether a deficit appropriation was needed and that it's not in the interest of good government to divert funds from one program to another. The intent of this amendment is just to change the language in that section of statute requiring the Department of Social Service to record and then report to the Legislature as provided in the 182 language in statute the expenses that have been incurred relative directly to that program. So, with that, I would encourage the adoption of the amendment. By the way, this doesn't add any money nor take any money away from 843.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, this morning Senator Coordsen's amendment kind of reminded me...that was this morning's amendment that Senator Coordsen offered on this issue. It kind of reminded me of a situation where a gentleman had left his farmhouse with a dog, with his 200-pound Doberman tied to a little fish net line and, lo and behold, when he came home the dog was loose. And so the farmer went and found the dog and kicked him right square in the teeth. It wasn't the dog's fault, I mean, the dog just was a big dog and the line wasn't