

May 20, 1991

LB 843

County per se to perfect something, they would have to do an entire search of the entire state. Secondly, there is a concern about...and I guess the one question maybe Senator Conway can answer for me, if Senator Conway would listen.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Conway, would you respond, please.

SENATOR CONWAY: If I hear the question again, I will.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, there is some concern about the access to this information, even with the trial...I know this is a trial project, but tell me how the trial project would work if you, I mean, is there just one county it would work in?

SENATOR CONWAY: I believe that the...sharing with Senator Wehrbein, the concept is the centralization at the Secretary of State's Office and so, therefore, anybody who wanted to try up at any given location where they had terminal access could...could call that up and take a crack at seeing how that's filed, because...

SENATOR MOORE: Is there...is there a concern about the security of this information?

SENATOR CONWAY: No, because it's now all public information in terms of a tax lien. It's not the tax forms and the filings, it's simply a lien and that is public information for anybody that wants to access it now.

SENATOR MOORE: And so you're...there's no reasonable concern about confidentiality, it's totally public information.

SENATOR CONWAY: No, in fact, it would be the same thing that an abstracter would look up to see whether or not there's any outstanding liens on a piece of property, including tax liens, and so, therefore, many of these terminals are already currently placed in private abstracting companies who pay a fee to access the central system.

SENATOR MOORE: Thank you, Senator Conway.

SPEAKER BAACK: Any other discussion? Seeing none, Senator Conway, would you like to close? Waives closing. We will now proceed to vote on the amendment offered by Senator Conway. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. We are voting on the