

amendment is Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Again, I rise in support of the amendment. It clearly does allow for the video keno machine, a player access machine. That means that they are machines that will sit in six, up to six locations in a county. There can be no more machines than there are I guess 1 per 1,000 in terms of population of the county, and what it does is it says there is a desire on the part of the locals to have these. I mean the experiment that was going on in Ralston and LaVista proved that, but if we are going to do this, we are going to be, I think, lobbied to provide this down the road. I say we jump in and acknowledge that this is going to happen, but part of that is that we take some revenue from it, and we regulate and oversight for next to nothing. We don't generate any money through the tax to speak of. We are the only people that are cut out of the pie, so to speak, in terms of the gambling that goes on in this state. The printers make it on the pickles and the bingo paper. The operators make it on it. The distributors make it. The local subdivisions or charities, depending on what kind of gambling you are talking about, the horse racing industry makes it, the breeders and those people who play and are lucky enough to win, but the state never gets a dime to speak of, and we have got a lottery proposal coming through the chute here pretty soon that would move us in that direction. But I am of the mind that in these kinds of other gambling operations, we ought to be taking a piece of the pie, and especially in the case of a subdivision of government that we have given taxing authority to, and when we are raising tax dollars in order to I guess offset their expenses, I believe that it is only fair since we have given them the authority, that to provide this type of a gambling device to them and regulate it, regulate it in the number of locations, the number of machines, but then take a good share of it. In other words, 50 percent, we will split it with you 50-50. We are doing all the work, never receiving any of the revenue from it. I think that it is about time we do that. We should implement it on our terms rather than have the locals dictate to us what they will accept. Again, I would urge adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Senator Hall. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a priority motion. Senator Rod Johnson would move to reconsider the vote on Senator Smith's amendment to the Hall amendment.