

have to have live games going on. It is a, I think a policy question that we have to make. I clarified the ban in the first amendment which was really a clarification and nothing more than that. This is the amendment that says, do we allow the cities, the counties who have the ability to run these that the Legislature gave them the authority to use the technology that is out there and if we do, then we're going to limit it and we're also going to take 50 percent of the money. We're also going to place a 50 percent tax in place, put it on whatever the revenues are that are generated. So in other words, if we're not going to take it on our shoulders to run and operate what I would consider the, basically the most economical lottery that you possibly can, then if we're going to give it to locals, if we're going to be the only state in the nation, which we are, to allow local option lotteries, then we ought to at least collect a fair share of that over and above just what would be considered a tax. So what the last thing that this amendment does is it says that 50 percent of the revenue, after payment of prizes and operating expenses from the operation of such player activated devices shall be remitted to the state. So in other words, it doesn't apply to their drop ball game, the sit down game with the little pieces of paper where you fill them out, 50 percent doesn't apply to that. It only applies to the video machines. It says if you're going to play, allow for video keno, if you're going to operate it in these six parlors that we're going to allow you to have, and if you're going to be able to play one machine or allow one machine for a thousand inhabitants in that subdivision, that entity of government, that county, then the state is going to say, fine, well and good, from the revenue that is generated, the net revenue, in other words, after prizes and expenses, we're going to take 50 percent of that because what we have done is we've abdicated our authority or at least I think we have clearly, to run this kind of an operation. We're moving in that direction. I will guarantee you that this proposal will be here until it's passed into law. The subdivisions of government did not put me up to this clearly, but I'd be willing to bet they are...well they weren't here yesterday when we were dealing with personal property tax so who knows if they are here today, and they got \$90 million approximately yesterday so maybe they're not interested in the money, but at some point in time they're going to be down here lobbying for this and I really think that it will be next year because they're going to see the revenue that is available. They're also going to want to look at other satellite sites over and above the six and that's my purpose for