

last amendment to the bill. There's a couple of intervening amendments that I don't...I see as friendly amendments to the issue of lottery and they aren't controversial. I don't consider this a controversial amendment either. The amendment that I will have later on will generate some discussion because it does talk about machines and it talks about machines as they relate to local lotteries and as well as to the state and the state's taxing authority. With that, Mr. Speaker, I would move adoption of the amendment to LB 795.

SPEAKER BAACK: Discussion on the Hall amendment. Senator Lamb. Senator Lamb, discussion on the Hall amendment.

SENATOR LAMB: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, I have a question of Senator Hall if he would yield.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Hall, would you yield to a question, please.

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR LAMB: I've had contact from one of my small communities that has a keno lottery and I'm not real familiar with the system but they have some sort of a card, like a credit card, that they use to activate that, as I understand it and so they don't have to have a...they don't have to have a person there selling the tickets or whatever. They say if they have to have the people there to actually sell the ticket, it would not be profitable and would about put them out of business. And I'm wondering, does your amendment do what they're afraid it does?

SENATOR HALL: Well, Senator Lamb, my argument would be that they're not...they're not legal right now. If I understand currently what you're saying they're doing and maybe I don't, I don't mean to jump to that conclusion without having a better understanding of how they're operating, but are you saying that they...everything is run by the player, that there is nobody who sits there and sells them paper, that runs the blower machine or in any way activates it?

SENATOR LAMB: There's no person there. I don't know exactly how it works but there is no person there and they...

SENATOR HALL: Well...