

for motor vehicles as it currently exists in place. We left the definitions with regard to the changes that were made in LB 1 of the special session redefining rural property. They remain in the bill. The provision that had all the 1991 personal property tax removed from the tax rolls is part of this proposal. The fact that it was intended to continue to all go back on effective January 1 of 1992 was struck from this proposal. We now go back to the...in January the system as it currently exists today. The depreciation surcharge with the Lamb amendment, as it was adopted, is at 2 percent for 1991. We reduced the sales tax collection fee as it was laid out in the original proposal. We take and eliminate sales tax exemptions with the Lamb proposal for some telecommunication services, railroad rolling stock and the truck parts. And then we also didn't touch the provision that the Governor had originally brought in, at least we haven't yet, tightened up the 1059 lid to allow for zero percent growth in the tax levies with the 5 percent growth provision as long as there is three-quarter, a three-quarter, three-quarter, as long as three-quarter percent of the members of the voting board support an increase. That's what we have in front of us, ladies and gentlemen. The issue has been talked about much. Much of the discussion has centered around whose role and responsibility is this. I would argue that when you look at this amendment, it clearly bears the mark of the Legislature, that there is very little remaining of what the Governor brought to us as we have had much needed debate, I think very good debate and discussion on the proposal. We're not done dealing with it. We're not done dealing with it. There are going to be amendments that are offered on Select File to hopefully deal with the provisions that are the approximately 32 to \$35 million that Senator Kristensen mentioned in those three entities that were put on, on General File, with a simple majority vote. We're going to address that. I intend to have a meeting of the members of the Legislature to discuss options, do that off the floor, invite everyone to attend and try to get some kind of consensus that we can bring in. We also talked much about the overall tax system and changes that we need to look at in the coming interim. I think that is very much needed discussion. It needs to continue, it will continue throughout the balance of this session but also through the interim and the discussion that is centered around the 3-R Committee is discussion that I think...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.