

serious about putting this on depending upon how this bill passes out of General File to Select. But, to me, we've got to discuss it. We've got a huge, huge industry out there that is literally not being taxed on the same basis that we're taxing the production items of business, and industry, and agriculture, and we just blithely ignore it and we don't even bother to debate it. Mr. Clerk, I would like permission to withdraw...Mr. President, I would like to withdraw that amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: It is withdrawn. The next amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Moore has the next amendment. Senator, I now have AM1820.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, I guess I...when Senator Wehrbein withdrew that amendment I wanted to reiterate what he just said. I mean, the interesting thing is when...whenever we get into the discussion about putting everything back on the tax rolls people's definition of everything is always...depends on who you are. Obviously, with the one vote we made yesterday when we said everything but 775 exemptions that caused a little bit of consternation, as well as when you talk about everything including...and not including intangibles. I just want to...nothing to do with my amendment here but I wanted to echo Senator Wehrbein's point of view that if you really want to talk about putting everything back on the tax rolls, the definition of everything needs to include intangibles as well because those are as logical to tax as other forms of personal property. And when many in the state, in the lobby and even in this body want to point blindly and use the expression let's put everything back on, they need to understand that there are at least a few people in this body that when you...that you're definition of everything includes intangibles. And I would like to rise to certainly second Senator Wehrbein's comments on that. As far as this amendment and the next one, I will withdraw them at this time and it's my hope that we...we pass...we move the bill over with Senator Lamb's amendment intact. That is far from perfect and as a matter of fact that is not even...not even workable and I'm the first one to understand that. But the one thing that I would say is that you're not going to tax the energy, possibly to depreciate some of the (inaudible) and still we continue to work on it. And so,